UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/729,502 | 12/05/2003 | Diane M. Northrup | 121932.00002 | 1955 | |
| 34282 QUARLES & | 7590 09/17/2007 RPADVIIP | EXAMINER | | | |
| ONE SOUTH | CHURCH AVENUE, SUI | WILKENS, JANET MARIE | | | |
| TUCSON, AZ | 85701-1621 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 3637 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 09/17/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|--------------------|--|
| 10/729,502 | NORTHRUP, DIANE M. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Janet M. Wilkens | 3637 | |

| Bototo ato timing of an elepton bito. | Examiner | Artonit | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Janet M. Wilkens | 3637 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>21 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian- time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | in the final rejection, wh | ichever is later. In | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); | TE below); | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | | life issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | mpliant Amandment | (DTOL 324) | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended. | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N Id sufficient reasons why the affida | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is | ot be entered s necessary and | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered by the art rejections are still deemed proper. | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | \ | | | |
| 13. Other: | · | elv.me | | | | |
| | | JANET M. WIL PRIMARY EXA | | | | |
| | | HU+303 | | | | |